

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/438,786	11/12/1999	KAZUHIKO HARA	00144/122111	9868	
75	11/26/2001				
WENDEROTH LIND & PONACK LLP			EXAMINER		
2033 K STREE SUITE 800			FEGGINS, F	FEGGINS, KRISTAL J	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advis ry Action	09/438,786	HARA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	K. Feggins	2861	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2001 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to be places the application	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•	
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding ame the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The approp originally set in the final Of	riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause: .		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT p	place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were n	ewly
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we</li> </ol>	(s) a)□ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	) will be entered and ow or appended.	d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>24-50</u> .			*
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examine	r.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	ر (s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	M		
	N. Le Supervisory Pate Technology Ce	nt Examiner nter 2800	/2



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1-23 were cancelled in Amendment filed 31 Jul 2001 without prejudice or disclaimer to the subject matter, new claims 24-50 were added and the specification was changed. The new claims incorporated new subject matter particularily pretaining to the word "flushing" rather than "flashing" and thereby changed the scope of the claimed subject matter.